

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/477,166		01/04/2000	ALI NAJIB SALEH	M-7166-IP-US	8782	
33031	7590	04/27/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
		PHENSON ASCO	LEE, TIMO	LEE, TIMOTHY L		
4807 SPIC. BLDG. 4, S		WOOD SPRINGS RD. IITE 201			PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN,	TX 7875	9		2662		
				DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004	, //	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)		
	Advison, Advison	09/477,166	SALEH ET AL.	
Advisory Action		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Timothy Lee	2662	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ress
There final recordite	REPLY FILED 16 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THe fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper relich places the application	ply to a cation in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
b) Ex have be 37 CFR (b) abov	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Itensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extent 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened replace, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THATE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(C	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment
5.🖂	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for application in condition for allowance because: \underline{Se}		sidered but does No	OT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which we	ere newly
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-36.			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) \square disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paner No(s)	///	

HASSAN KIZOU / SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

10. Other: ____

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/477,166

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The addition of "a portion of said plurality of portions comprising an overhead byte" in claims 1, 13, 20, and 30 is a new issue and would require a further search..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner has carefully reviewed Applicant's arguments regarding claim 36 but found the arguments not persuasive. The Examiner believes the rejection is proper.